Previous references.

1903-04.
(Sep: Rev:)

Later references.

File No. 177 of 02.
Serial Nos. 172.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Major ~~Part~~ B. for July 1903.-

SUBJECT.

Inam toddy claim of Jodi Kudwalli Village, Chickmagalur Taluk.

1. From the Excise Commr:, No: 7893 & 20/30 dt. June 1903. Submits with opinion the record of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Jodi Kudwalli Village, Chickmagalur Taluk.

G. R. S. 58

2. To the Excise Commr: & Comptroller.

G. O.

G. O. 82-3/Ex: J 13-01 & 29-7-03

Holding that the Boundary of the Kuduvalli Village, Chickmagalur Taluk, Kadur District, are not entitled to the Excise Toddy revenue of the Village.

17/12/14.

2 ← Ex 2 3/1/03

S. R. 18/2. 177702.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
14049
1st JUL. 1903

1903.

From H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre B.A.

L.L. Excise Commissioner

in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A. B.L.

Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Dept

Dated 20th }
Despatched 30th } June. 1903.

Received

General No. 7893

No. 537

Enclosure No. one file

MB

SR 177702

Submits his opinion

the record of enquiry relating

to the Taddy Revenue of Jodi

Kuduvalli village, Chickmagalur

taluk.

Ex cise

Commr

Received

10/7/03

No 7893 Exc one file
" 537

Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore 20th / 30th June 1903.

From

B. N. Bermaiya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K. V. Nanjundayya Esquire B.A. M.L.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Kudavalli village, Chickmagalur taluk, I have the honor to state that the present Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, says in para 6 of his letter No. 198, dated 21st May 1903 / 9th June 1903, that the Shanbhogs of this village have failed to appear, though duly served with notice; that decision has already been passed by Mr. Sparkes to the effect that the Shanbhogs are not entitled to the Toddy Excise Revenue; and that the Quit Rent Register of

of this village does not make any mention of the Toddy Revenue. I concur in the opinion of Mr Sparkes that the Jodidars are not entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There is no toddy shop, but there are a few Bagani trees in the village. These trees were not sold by Government under the "Farming System"; and therefore no money is payable by Government. Mr Sparkes says that "although the petitioners have apparently been in the habit of selling the toddy derived from their trees in the Inam, they have failed to prove in any way that they are entitled to it, as no mention is made of it in the Sannad." This Statement is not borne out by the records. As stated above, there is no shop at all. The Statement of the Inamdars could only amount to this, namely, that they leased the trees and the lessees paid to them something about 12 annas. But this does not show that they derived any thing but what is analogous to Tree rent. Besides the former system was in Inamdars very

Encl: to S.R. 177 of 92 Sd: Chinnappa Bhatta.

Statement of Krishnappa Bhatta, Devana Bhatta, Lubhana Bhatta, Loba Bhatta of Kudumalli: Sworn & bilingual

Duly sworn. We claim the toddy here on the plea of long enjoyment & usage: it is proved by the original samada now produced.

We have no other documentary evidence

We have been leasing the trees & the rents for small sums of 12 as or Rs 1/- & for wh. we have no receipts

There are abt 20 Bargani trees & two date trees.

The rents comprise all the toddy trees drawn & do not convey it does not stop.

No shops have been opened on account of these trees.

Read over & admitted to be correct

Sd Spencer

20/11/94

Altho the pleaders have apparently been in the habit of selling the toddy drawn from these trees in their name, they have failed to prove in any way that they are entitled to the same as no mention is made of it in the samada produced

Sd/ Thimmaya makes no mention of any toddy trees being granted or any other item.

5/

I am therefore of opinion that the petitioners are not entitled to the Forest Rent.

H H Sparke

28/11/94

Statement showing the particulars required in Government Proceedings No. 1679 of 1893.

Kadur District. Sandur Taluq

Kudarelli Village

Krishnajoisa, Subbarayadunnika. Devara bhatta, Shenkerabhatta &c.	Names of Inamdars
The concession is not clearly stated in the original Sunnud. The date of the grant is about the year 1806.	Date of the particulars of the grant under which the Jodi Revenue is conceived to the Inamdars.
The Jodidars claim this item of Revenue by long enjoyment no written documents produced in proof of this except Jodidars verbal statement	If the Concession is not exactly granted by Sunnud under what circumstances and on what grounds it is claimed
about a rupee	Amount of annual payment if any made to the Inamdar an account of Jodi Revenue up to 1891-92
The verbal statement of Jodidars.	The date upon which the information under heads 5 is based
	Probable number of Ryots

... No: 443-51/269 of the 11th July 1893 regarding the Loans to Gaya.

Whether Kists of any loans outstanding in the village on 1892-93 & 1893-94.	Whether Kists of any loans outstanding in the village on 1892-93 & 1893-94 were satisfied within	The demand Collection and balance including Local Cess on account of Revenue by separate Sale in 1892-93 & 1893-94		
		Demand	Collection	Balance
Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

S. R. 177 for 02.

Read:- Letter no 1893/53 dt 20/30 June 1902 from the Revenue Commissioner Mysore submitting the record of enquiry relating to the encroachment claim to the Lodge premises of the Jodi Kadumalle village Chickmagalur Taluk, Kadur District

For approval

Hon'ble
18/7/03

The Dewan,
&c. &c. &c. Ord. Councillor

Order thereon:
No X 82-3
Ex 713-01
dt 29.7.03

The claim is not proved and it is manifestly inequitable & unjustifiable - & may be rejected

19-7-03

The village has only a few Bajagi trees and no Lodge Shola. The encroachment claim to the Revenue premises from Lodge was enquired into

by the Lower
Bar of Spanish in 1874
~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~ & he formed
that the claim
was not established.
When a fresh
enquiry was under-
taken in accordance
with G.O. no 2074-83
— R.L. 166-92 of 2/8/07
the inundation
failed to affect any
part of the claim
tho. they returned
only / acres with
water. The Sanitary
& Town Settlement Officer
~~[illegible]~~ do not concede
this presence to the
inundations. Court
under these cir-
cumstances Court
are of opinion
that the pre-

is all that can
be allowed to the
inamdars —

2) As the terms in this
village are reported
not to have been
leased out by Govt.
no money is due
to the inamdars.

24/7/03

14/7/03

To the Revenue Commissioner
for favour of record
& Commissioner
Regn.

22.VII.03

10/7/03

S.R. 177 to 82.

10.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th July 1903

No. 82-3
Ex. F. 13-01

Holding that the Inamdars of the Kuduvalli village, Chikmagalur Taluk, Kadur District, are not entitled to the Excise toddy revenue of the village.

SBP—11-8-03—50

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Excise, dated 29th July 1903.

READ—

Letter No. 7893—537, dated 20—30th June 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the Inamdars' claim to the toddy revenue of the Jodi Kuduvalli village, Chikmagalur Taluk, Kadur District.

No. 82-3—Ex. F. 13-01, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH JULY 1903.

ORDER THEREON—The village has only a few Bagani trees but no toddy shop. The Inamdars' claim to the excise revenue from toddy was enquired into by the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. H. H. Sparkes, in 1894, and he found that the claim was not established. When a fresh enquiry was undertaken in accordance with Government Order No. 2074-83—R. F. 166-92, dated 2nd August 1901, the Inamdars failed to appear and prove their claim, though they were duly served with notice. The Sannad and the Inam Settlement Register do not concede this revenue to the Inamdars. Under these circumstances Government are of opinion that tree-rent is all that can be allowed to the Inamdars.

2. As the trees in this village are reported not to have been leased out by Government, no money is due to the Inamdars.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning record.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exc